# **Annual Assessment Report**

**Department: Kinesiology Academic Year: 2019-2020** 

Date of Submission: September 16, 2019

**Department Chair: Gregg Afman** 

#### I. Response to the previous year PRC's recommendations

Item:	Response:			
Item:	Response			
Item:	Response:			
Item:	Response:			
Notes:				
**This is the first Program Learning Outcome of our 6-year cycle.**				

#### II A. Program Learning Outcome (PLO) assessment

If your department participated in the ILO assessment you may use this section to report on your student learning in relation to the assessed ILO. The assessment data can be requested from the Dean of Curriculum and Educational Effectiveness.

Program	PLO 1: STUDENTS WILL BE ABLE TO PREPARE AND PRODUCE PRESENTATIONS APPROPRIATE TO THE ACADEMIC
Learning	DISCIPLINE OF KINESIOLOGY
Outcome	
Who is in	JOHN MOORE
Charge	
/Involved?	
<u>Direct</u>	Every student in KNS 166 - Public Speaking in Kinesiology, gave four or five oral presentations. There were 19 students
<u>Assessment</u>	evaluated using the college-adopted rubric developed by the Oral Communication Assessment Team (see appendix A).
<u>Methods</u>	The first and the last speeches were evaluated using the rubric, the data recorded and then statistically analyzed. (see
	appendix B)

<u>Indirect</u>	None
<u>Assessment</u>	
Methods	
Major	The results showed that every student made statistically significant improvements when combining total data as well as
Findings	in each of the nine individual categories assessed. See Appendix 1 for data.
Closing the	The Kinesiology department plans to replicate this data collection once every fourth semester and compare/contrast the
Loop	results to previous evaluations.
Activities	

<sup>\*</sup>Background of PLO1: The Kinesiology Department made an official change in KNS 166 course title name from "Movement, Pedagogy, and Leadership" to "Public Speaking in Kinesiology" fall of 2018 which coincided with the Oral Communication Task Force suggesting a evaluative rubric that enabled consistency across the institution. John Moore was a contributing member on this task force.

#### or/and

### **II B. Key Questions**

<b>Key Question</b>	
Who is in	
Charge/Involved?	
<b>Direct Assessment</b>	
<u>Methods</u>	
<u>Indirect</u>	
<u>Assessment</u>	
<u>Methods</u>	
<b>Major Findings</b>	
Recommendations	
Collaboration and C	ommunication

## III. Follow-ups

<b>Program Learning</b>	
Outcome or Key	
Question	
Who was	
involved in	
implementation?	
What was	
decided or	
addressed?	
How were the	
recommendations	
implemented?	
Collaboration and C	Communication

## IV. Other assessment or Key Questions related projects

Project	
Who is in	
Charge	
/Involved?	

Major	
Major Findings Action	
Action	
Collaboration	on and Communication

## V. Adjustments to the Multi-year Assessment Plan (optional)

Proposed adjustment	Rationale	Timing

### **VI. Appendices**

- A. Prompts or instruments used to collect the data
- B. Rubrics used to evaluate the data
- C. Relevant assessment-related documents (optional)

#### **A**PPENDIX A

VESTMONT ILO- ORAL COMMUNICATION RUBRIC		DEPT: COU	IRSE #:	STUDENT NAME:	
Competency		Excellent		Good to Fair	Unsatisfactory
Message construction: This outcome measures how well students devise, prepare, and create messages, focusing	Message Thesis Argument Evidence	Thesis, message, argument, introduction conclusion are compelling, clear, met & strongly supported with variety of c (cited) evidence, thoughtful claims.	morable, credible	Thesis, message, argument, introduction & conclusion are clear and acceptable. Provides adequate support, with acceptable (cited) sources. Straightforward claims.	Thesis, message, argument, introduction & conclusion are unclear, lack of evidence/support or uses sources that lack credibility, too little evidence for claims, no citations.
primarily on thesis statements, key arguments, and supporting evidence. Message construction should also be evaluated for its	Organization	Well organized, flows well, good trans Cohesive. Includes a clear Preview (i appropriate).	if	Clear organization and flow with transitions. Includes an acceptable Preview (if appropriate).	Poorly organized and doesn't flow. Few transitions. Lacks a Preview (if needed).
contextual appropriateness.	Language	Language is varied, clear, memorable effective.	e, &	Language is clear, but ordinary.	Language is vague, uninteresting, or unclear.
Message Construction	OVERALL RATING:				
Delivery skills: This outcome emphasizes the performance aspects of speech acts, primarily quality of voice (tone, pitch, rate, etc.) as well as physical presence (eye contact, gestures, posture, appropriate appearance, and energy).	Vocal	Appropriate vocal variety (rate, pitch, that heightens attention, good energy no/infrequent fillers (um, like). Effective pauses. Clear enunciation.	y & tone, ve	Moderate vocal variety (rate, pitch, volume) that keeps attention, acceptable energy & tone, some fillers (um, like). Uses pauses occasionally. Clear enunciation.	Monotone, disengaged, low energy, many fillers (um, like). Inappropriate tone for topic/audience. Needs pauses. Mumbles.
	Physical	Nearly continual eye contact with all a good posture, lack of rocking/leaning gestures throughout, few nervous mannerisms. Professional attire.	, varied	Good/fair eye contact with most of audience, acceptable posture, some leaning/nervousness/rocking, some gestures, some nervous or distracting mannerisms. Acceptable attire.	No/sporadic eye contact, no gestures (or distracting ones), poor posture, lots of leaning/ rocking/nervous mannerisms. Unprofessional attire.
	Holistic	Speaker is professional, polished, & competent. Delivery makes the mess speaker compelling and engages aud Conversational. Prepared and well re	sage and dience.	Speaker appears moderately comfortable, professional, & competent. Delivery makes the message and speaker clear. Acceptably conversational. Prepared and rehearsed.	Speaker appears uncomfortable, unprepared, or unprofessional. Delivery distracts from (or inappropriate for) the message. Not conversational. Not prepared or rehearsed)
DELIVERY	OVERALL RATING:				
Audience-centeredness: Oral communication should	Sensitivity to audience & occasion	Message, thesis, and/or language are adapted to audience and occasion.		Message and thesis and/or language are appropriate for audience and occasion.	Message and thesis and/or language are inappropriate for audience and occasion.
demonstrate sensitivity to the audience and occasion. Audience-centeredness includes	Q & A time	Speaker is able to discern intent of Q address them in a compelling way whe validating the question asker.		Speaker is able to answer Qs clearly. May become flustered but recovers quickly.	Speaker isn't able to answer Qs, or replies defensively or attacks speaker.
responding well to challenging questions, respecting intercultural differences, and handling unforeseen situations.	Adapt to audience	Able to adapt well to the situation as unexpected events unfold.		Attempts to adapt to the situation and unforeseen events & does a decent job of it.	Does not adapt to last minute situational issues and simply sticks with plan.
AUDIENCE-CENTEREDNESS OVERALL RATING:					

	Message Thesis						Sensitivity to		
Student #	Argument Evidence	Organization	Language	Vocal	Physical	Holistic	audience/occasion	Q&A time	Adapt to audience
:	1 2	3	2	2	3	2	3	3	3
	2 3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
;	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	3
4	4 3	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	2
!	5 3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
(	5 3	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	3
-	7 3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3
8	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
Ġ	9 2	2	3	3	2	2	2	3	2
10	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
1:	1 2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
17	2 2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
13	3 2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
14	4 2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
1!		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
10	5 2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2
1	7 2	2	3	3	3	2	3	2	2
18	3 2	2	3	2	3	2	3	3	3
19	9 2	2	3	2	3	2	3	3	3
6	4.5		40	4.6	40	40	50	40	45
Sum	45	44	48	46	48	42			
Average	2.368421053		2.526315789	2.421052632		2.210526316		2.526315789	
Standard De	ev 0.495594628	0.477566933	0.512989176	0.50/25/274	0.512989176	0.418853908	0.495594628	0.512989176	0.495594628
Ttest									

Last

	Message Thesis				Sensitivity to					
Student #	Argument Evidence	Organization	Language	Vocal	Physical	Holistic	audience/occasion	Q&A time	Adapt to audie	ence
:	1 3	3	3	3 :	3	3	3	3	3	3
	2 3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
;	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
4	4 3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3
ļ	5 3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
(	6 3	3	3	3 :	3	3	3	3	3	3
-	7 3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
8	8 3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
9	9 3	3	3	3 :	3	3	3	3	3	3
10	0 3	3	3	3 :	3	3	3	3	3	3
1:	1 3	3	3	3 :	3	3	3	3	3	3
12	2 3	3	3	3 :	3	3	3	3	3	3
13	3 3	3	3	3 :	3	3	3	3	3	3
14	4 3	3	3	3 :	3	3	3	3	3	3
1!	5 3	3	3	3 :	3	3	3	3	3	3
10	6 3	3	3	3 :	3	3	3	3	3	3
1	7 3	3	3	3 :	3	3	3	3	3	3
18	8 3	3	3	3 :	3	3	3	3	3	3
19	9 3	3	3	3 :	3	3	3	3	3	3
Sum	57	7 57	7 5	7 5	7 5	56 5	57 5	57	57	57
Average	3	3	3	3 :	3 2.94736842	21	3	3	3	3
Standard De	ev (	) (	) (	0	0 0.22941573	34	0	0	0	0
Ttest										

### Difference

	Message Thesis						Sensitivity to		
Student #		Organization	Language \	Vocal	Physical	Holistic	audience/occasion	Q&A time	Adapt to audience
1	. 1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
2	2 0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
4	0	1	1	1	-1	1	0	0	1
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
$\epsilon$	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
9	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1
10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
11	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
12	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
13	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
14	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
15	5 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
16	5 1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1
17	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
18	3 1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
19	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Sum	12	13	9	11	8	15	7	9	12
Average	0.631578947	0.684210526	0.473684211	0.578947368	0.421052632	0.789473684	0.368421053	0.473684211	0.631578947
Standard De	v 0.495594628	0.477566933	0.512989176	0.507257274	0.606976979	0.418853908	0.495594628	0.512989176	0.495594628
Ttest	2.83944E-05	6.8503E-06	0.000794488	9.80194E-05	0.007298826	1.67393E-07	0.004540706	0.000794488	2.83944E-05

**Total First** 

	Message		Audience-	Message		Audience-	Message		Audience-		
Ctudont #	=	Daliyany Chilla		=	Dolivory Chille		_			Overall Tetal	Overall Total
Student #	Construction	Delivery Skills			Delivery Skills	centereuness	Construction	Delivery Skills			
ا ا		,	9	•	9	9	2	2	0	23	27
4	8	9	9	9	9	9	, I	. 0	0	26	27
3	8	9	8	9	9	9	, <u> </u>	0	1	25	27
2	, ,	/	8	9	8	9	2	1	1	22	26
5	9	9	8	9	9	2 -		0	1	26	27
6	5 9	7	9	9	9	S	) (	2	0	25	27
7	9	8	9	9	9	9	) C	1	0	26	27
8	9	9	8	9	9	S	) C	0	1	26	27
g	7	7	7	9	9	S	) 2	2	2	21	27
10	) 6	6	6	9	9	g	) 3	3	3	18	27
11	6	6	6	9	9	9	) 3	3	3	18	27
12	2 6	6	6	9	9	9	) 3	3	3	18	27
13	3 7	6	6	9	9	g	) 2	3	3	19	27
14	<b>l</b> 6	6	6	9	9	g	) 3	3	3	18	27
15	6	6	6	9	9	g	) 3	3	3	18	27
16	6	6	7	9	9	g	) 3	3	2	19	27
17	7	8	7	9	9	g	) 2	1	2	22	27
18	3 7	7	9	9	9	9	) 2	2	0	23	27
19	7	7	9	9	9	9	) 2	2	0	23	27
Sum	137	136	143	171	170	171	. 34	34	28	416	512
Average	7.210526316	7.157894737	7.526315789	9	8.947368421	g	1.789473684	1.789473684	1.473684211	21.89473684	26.94736842
Standard De	v 1.134261746	1.167293065	1.263523339	0	0.229415734	C	1.134261746	1.182227059	1.263523339	3.195391418	0.229415734
Ttest							1.96857E-06	3.39163E-06	7.74503E-05		1.99171E-06

Total last

Difference

First

Last